
CALIFORNIA
ELECTRONIC
INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report
to the
Legislature
2019

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Section

This annual report is available on the
California Attorney General's website:
<http://oag.ca.gov>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2019.....	3
Table 2: General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2019	4
Table 3: Electronic Interception Court Activity During Calendar Year 2019.....	5
Table 4: Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2019.....	6
Table 5: Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report.....	29
Table 6: Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2019.....	48
Table 7: Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2019	69
Table 8: Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years	70
Table 9: List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used Calendar Year 2019.....	72
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”	73

Executive Summary

The 2019 Attorney General’s Electronic Interceptions Report is issued in compliance with Penal Code section 629.62, and is available on the Attorney General’s website at: <https://oag.ca.gov>. Each county was directed to provide certain mandatory information regarding its electronic interception activity for the 2019 calendar year by January 3, 2020. This report reflects the information submitted.

Electronic interceptions are the interceptions of wire or electronic communications via wire, cable, radio, and other systems. In California, court-authorized interceptions of wire or electronic communications are used to target specific criminal offenses when conventional investigative techniques are inadequate or simply too dangerous to pursue. As such, the use of electronic interceptions constitutes an essential tool in law enforcement agencies’ battle against crime. Dangerous individuals and criminal entities, such as drug trafficking organizations (“DTOs”) and criminal street gangs, frequently rely on telecommunications to advance their criminal activity. Accordingly, electronic interceptions are essential in identifying, disrupting, and preventing crimes including murder, drug trafficking, and human trafficking. Such interceptions have successfully uncovered conspiracies to commit murder and have led to the seizure of remarkable amounts of narcotics, firearms, and criminally derived proceeds that facilitate ongoing criminal activity. Discoveries and seizures like these effectively remove harmful elements from our communities and further public safety.

This report contains electronic interception data and details about how the authorized use of electronic interceptions enable local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies to effectively combat crime at various levels. From preventing and solving murders, to disrupting large-scale drug trafficking operations, the following examples underscore the importance of the continued use of authorized electronic interceptions:

- Los Angeles County’s use of electronic interceptions resulted in seizures of over 5,000 fentanyl pills, 239 kilograms of methamphetamine, 103 kilograms of cocaine, 13 kilograms of heroin, and over \$3.1 million in narcotics proceeds.
- Riverside County’s use of electronic interceptions resulted in seizures of over 529 kilograms of methamphetamine, 283 kilograms of cocaine, 474 kilograms in other narcotics, and over \$3.3 million in narcotics proceeds.
- San Joaquin County’s use of electronic interceptions confirmed an ongoing violent conflict between two gangs and resulted in the seizure of evidence of offenses including homicide, human trafficking, drug trafficking, and firearms offenses. In addition to 16 felony arrests, this investigation led to the identification of victims of human trafficking, pimping, and domestic violence (2019-SJ-33).

Although this report sets forth information in nine separate tables, the tables should be read collectively to evaluate the impact that electronic interceptions have had on public safety. Tables 1, 2, and 3 reflect statistics related to arrests, convictions, the nature of interception orders, and post-interception court activity. Table 4 contains data describing the electronic interceptions and optional comments about the usefulness of those interceptions. Table 5 sets forth information regarding compliance with the requirement that inventory notices be sent to persons subjected to interceptions. Table 6 shows the cost of each interception. Table 7 lists counties that reported no electronic interception activity in calendar year 2019. Table 8 provides supplemental information for interceptions completed prior to 2019, for which additional information later became available. Finally, Table 9 lists electronic interception orders that were never used.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses					Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total
Alameda	3	16	5.3	16	16				16					6	6	6.0
Contra Costa	8	38	7.6	38	28	12									0	
Kern	20	442	26.0		416										0	
Kings	17	96	5.6												0	
Los Angeles	163	85	2.2	10	6	80			8						0	
Orange	8	26	3.3	5	21	21									0	
Riverside	40	12	2.0	4		8									0	
Sacramento	4	2	1.0	1				1							0	
San Bernardino	39	64	3.2	5	31	47	7		5						0	
San Diego	6	6	1.0			6									0	
San Joaquin	32	69	2.2	69	67	67			67					5	5	1.7
San Luis Obispo	2	0													0	
Santa Clara	5	8	1.6	8											0	
Stanislaus	8	28	3.5		28										0	
Tulare	5	12	4.0		5	2									0	
Ventura	9	18	2.0		18						8				8	4.0
Total:	369	922		156	636	243	7	1	96	0	8	0	0	0	11	19

¹ Any disparity between “Number of Persons Arrested” and “Number of Persons Convicted” may be attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are awaiting prosecution—a process which can take a significant amount of time—or that the intercept of part of a larger-scale ongoing investigation.

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	3	3									3		3				1
Contra Costa	8	8									8		8				1
Kern	20	20									19		20				
Kings	17	17									17		17				
Los Angeles	163	17	5	141			1	1			163		163				3
Orange	8	3	6	5				1			8		8	1			
Riverside	40	4		36							40		40				2
Sacramento	4	3				1	1				3		3				3
San Bernardino	39	4	8	27							39		39				
San Diego	6	1	1	6							6	1	6				
San Joaquin	32	3	29								31	4	31				30
San Luis Obispo	2	1		1							2		2				1
Santa Clara	5	5									5		5				
Stanislaus	8		8								8		8				8
Tulare	5		5	1							5		5				1
Ventura	9		2	7							9	1	9				3

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2019²

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	3	3				2	2				30	150	126	42				
Contra Costa	8	8	1			3	3				30	330	255	32				
Kern	20	20				19	19				30	1170	968	48				
Kings	17	17										510	412	24				
Los Angeles	163	163			2	132	132				30	8850	8566	53				
Orange	8	8										240	127	16				
Riverside	40	40				41	41				30	2430	2048	53				
Sacramento	4	4	2					1				57	57	14				
San Bernardino	39	39			3	12	12			3	30	1530	1197	31				
San Diego	6	6				5	5				30	330	265	44				
San Joaquin	32	32	1			6	6				30	1140	766	24				
San Luis Obispo	2	2				1	1				30	90	52	26				
Santa Clara	5	5	2		2							150	117	23				
Stanislaus	8	8				4	4	1			30	330	248	31				28
Tulare	5	5				2	2				30	210	194	39				
Ventura	9	9	1									270	258	29				11

² While electronic interceptions often result in evidence leading to prosecution, they may also lead to further investigations and the prevention of crime at the state and federal levels.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	2019-ALA-1	136	9,938	6	94	This wiretap assisted in the prosecution of numerous criminal street gang members for felonies including conspiracy to commit home invasion robbery, burglaries, attempted homicides, and assaults with firearms. Several firearms were seized, including assault weapons. Intercepts thwarted burglaries, armed robberies, and the sale and dissemination of firearms, reducing violent crime.
	2019-ALA-2	29	2,010	7	93	See 2019-ALA-1.
	2019-ALA-3	42	2,964	8	92	See 2019-ALA-1.
Contra Costa	2019-CC-21	4	4,324	15	85	This wiretap resulted in incriminating evidence resulting in murder charges against three defendants.
	2019-CC-22	1,208	19,409	7	93	This wiretap resulted in evidence of gang, firearm, and attempted murder offenses.
	2019-CC-23	1,208	19,409	7	93	Investigation in gang homicide case is ongoing.
	2019-CC-24	1,208	19,409	7	93	
	2019-CC-25	1,208	19,409	7	93	
	2019-CC-26	1,075	24,604	1.5	98	Extensive gang/murder investigation. Investigation ongoing.
	2019-CC-27	4	23,248	5	95	Extensive gang/homicide case leading to several arrests. Litigation is pending.
	2019-CC-28	250	50,931	2	98	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of the suspected killer and several confederates in a cold case homicide.
Kern	2019-KE-2	68	3,940	5	95	This wiretap assisted in gathering evidence of the murder and dismemberment of one victim, and the disappearance and suspected murder of another victim.
	2019-KE-3	68	3,940	5	95	See 2019-KE-2.
	2019-KE-4	68	3,940	5	95	See 2019-KE-2.

³ Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kern (cont'd)	2019-KE-5	27	6,923	66	34	Investigation into violent criminal street gang and ongoing criminal conspiracies. This wiretap thwarted three planned shootings, resulted in arrests for attempted murder, uncovered thefts in excess of \$200,000, revealed numerous multi-state residential burglaries, and indicated the existence of interstate narcotics and firearms trafficking. The wiretap also resulted in the seizure of 13 firearms, 17 grams of heroin, and numerous items of stolen property. The wiretap disrupted the gang's ability to continue acts of violence and theft in Bakersfield.
	2019-KE-6	18	4,522	5	95	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-7	42	11,760	5	95	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-8	11	4,298	5	95	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-9	24	10,591	36	64	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-10	6	9,618	16	84	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-11	0	0	0	0	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-12	38	5,201	56	44	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-13	6	14,154	79	21	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-14	6	7,973	94	6	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-15	6	252	66	34	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-16	7	402	66	34	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-17	7	1,320	66	34	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-18	13	1,203	66	34	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-19	11	3,846	66	34	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-20	16	688	66	34	See 2019-KE-5.
	2019-KE-21	32	3,003	66	34	See 2019-KE-5.
Kings	2020-KI-1	1,864	65,140	14	86	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-2	717	30,564	26	74	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-3	2	4	50	50	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-4	812	32,345	10	10	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-5	469	13,249	24	76	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-6	1,138	43,310	10	90	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-7	375	17,884	25	75	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-8	320	5,509	33	67	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-9	239	13,390	3	97	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-10	170	1,659	12	88	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-11	407	19,555	10	90	See 2020-KI-17.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kings (cont'd)	2020-KI-12	1,184	37,918	15	85	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-13	241	18,386	8	92	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-14	79	2,911	4	96	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-15	5	129	5	95	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-16	40	789	24	76	See 2020-KI-17.
	2020-KI-17	44	369	15	85	This investigation revealed that a major criminal organization was pushing narcotic distribution and sales, tax collection, extortion, and robberies by gang members in both Tulare and Kings Counties. The wiretap ultimately resulted in 96 arrests (charges ranging from conspiracy to commit robbery, murder, narcotics trafficking, manufacturing, sales, and possession of firearms and automatic weapons in benefit of the criminal street gang), the prevention of five violent crimes, and the seizure of 21 "ghost" Glock-style handguns (seven converted to fully automatic), 11 pounds of methamphetamine, 53 additional firearms (six fully automatic), two Butane Honey Oil Labs, and \$90,833. Several incarcerated organization leaders were charged. 17 others were charged federally by the United States Attorney's Office.
Los Angeles	2019-LA-9	150	1,929	19	81	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. However, agents were able to identify other target within the DTO.
	2019-LA-10	127	2,178	0.23	99.77	There was no pertinent activity during this wiretap investigation.
	2019-LA-12	8	43	37	63	Target discontinued use of target telephone. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-13	18	162	20	80	Target discontinued use of target telephone. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-14	139	2,050	50	50	Targets discontinued use of target telephones prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-15	76	2,405	21	79	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 33 pounds of methamphetamine and one kilogram of heroin.
	2019-LA-16	318	7,387	60	40	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-17	72	1,412	44	56	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$276,000 of narcotics proceeds and 19 kilograms of cocaine. Case is still active and investigation is ongoing.
	2019-LA-18	15	102	63	37	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$430,000 of narcotics proceeds and 7 kilograms of cocaine. Case is still active and new wire targets are being intercepted in this investigation.
	2019-LA-19	44	697	25	75	The wiretap resulted in the identification of additional target subjects. The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-20	249	20,633	6	94	This wiretap assisted agents in identifying additional members of the DTO and learning more about the DTO's workings. This wiretap resulted in several arrests and the seizure of narcotics.
	2019-LA-21	186	7,895	56	44	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-22	109	1,910	33	67	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-23	19	476	11	89	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-24	172	5,963	12	88	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-25	272	5,268	44	56	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$130,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-26	566	6,766	19	81	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 13 kilograms of cocaine and 31 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2019-LA-27	50	1,526	69	31	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$193,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-28	68	1,075	21	79	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. However, agents were able to identify other target within the DTO.
	2019-LA-29	409	4,176	16	84	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-30	290	3,710	22	78	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-31	227	3,229	23	77	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-32	95	1,866	43	57	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 4 kilograms of heroin.
	2019-LA-33	110	1,124	9	91	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-34	88	2,562	43	57	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 9 kilograms of cocaine.
	2019-LA-35	283	4,016	26	74	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$130,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-36	18	129	17	83	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. However, agents were able to identify other targets within the DTO.
	2019-LA-37	180	2,792	45	55	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. However, agents were able to identify other targets within the DTO.
	2019-LA-38	16	381	65	35	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. However, agents were able to identify other targets within the DTO.
	2019-LA-39	192	4,720	29	71	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 65 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2019-LA-40	0	0	0	0	Target discontinued use of target telephone.
	2019-LA-41	17	287	78	22	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$330,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-42	1	7	0	100	Target discontinued use of telephone prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-43	99	1,118	54	46	Interception of targets was discontinued prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-44	511	9,788	22	78	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-45	116	2,136	66	34	Interception of targets was discontinued prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-46	68	1,163	68	32	Interception of targets was discontinued prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-47	117	5,067	54	46	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-48	3	585	25	75	This wiretap resulted in the discovery of pertinent evidence related to this case.
	2019-LA-49	238	4,718	35	65	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-50	183	4,971	48	52	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 81 pounds of methamphetamine and approximately \$50,000 in narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-51	66	833	11	89	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional target subjects. The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-52	70	3,099	3	97	This wiretap allowed agents to learn about the criminal organization's structure, method of operation, and its other members.
	2019-LA-53	214	7,434	53	57	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$75,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-54	44	3,249	27	73	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$34,200 in narcotics proceeds and the arrest of one person.
	2019-LA-55	101	4,888	22	78	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 30 pounds of methamphetamine, 2 kilograms of cocaine, 1 kilogram of heroin, and the arrest of one person.
	2019-LA-56	78	2,387	46	54	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$44,899 in narcotics proceeds, 4 firearms, and the arrest of one person.
	2019-LA-57	383	9,850	32	68	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 33 pounds of methamphetamine and 1 kilogram of heroin.
	2019-LA-58	223	13,568	11	89	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of 6 suspects involved in extortion and money laundering on behalf of a major criminal organization. It also allowed agents to learn the organization's structure, method of operation, and other target telephones.
	2019-LA-59	693	40,367	23	77	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional target subjects. The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-60	83	1,342	22	78	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional target subjects. The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-61	0	9,794	16	84	This wiretap intercepted conversations discussing the murder investigation and narcotic trafficking activities.
	2019-LA-62	57	850	22	78	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional target subjects. The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-63	118	2,795	59	41	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 8 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-64	45	1,723	69	31	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds and 8 kilograms of cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-65	0	0	0	0	Target discontinued use of telephone prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-66	124	5,340	12	88	Target discontinued use of telephone prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-67	0	98	0	100	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-68	31	167	36	64	Interception of targets was discontinued prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-69	73	769	37	63	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-70	163	10,973	52	48	This wiretap resulted in the identification of other DTO members. Several arrests were made and narcotics were seized.
	2019-LA-71	141	2,561	31	69	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-72	245	5,023	37	63	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-73	571	8,949	47	53	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-74	84	1,462	57	43	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 10 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$160,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-75	56	1,279	29	71	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-76	127	1,099	42	58	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-77	475	22,949	0.24	99.75	This wiretap allowed agents to obtain valuable information in assisting them in redirecting tactics and leading agents to receive beneficial and incriminating information/evidence.
	2019-LA-78	56	2,329	54	46	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-79	285	4,484	27	73	This wiretap resulted in the identification of other DTO members. Several arrests were made and narcotics were seized.
	2019-LA-80	129	9,152	14	86	Interception of target telephones discontinued before any seizures.
	2019-LA-81	120	5,070	33	67	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of the primary target, 4 additional suspects, and the seizure of 10 pounds of methamphetamine, 1/2 kilogram of heroin, 1/2 kilogram of cocaine, approximately \$40,000, and a handgun.
	2019-LA-82	0	712	47	53	This wiretap resulted in intercepted conversations discussing the murder investigation and narcotic trafficking activities.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-83	79	1,032	77	23	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 56 kilograms of cocaine.
	2019-LA-84	30	1,619	76	24	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-85	0	0	0	0	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-86	21	357	11	89	Interception of target's telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-87	221	6,337	15	85	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-88	124	2,085	1	99	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-89	169	2,559	1	99	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-90	0	21	0	100	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-91	485	12,432	12	88	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Several arrests were made and narcotics were seized.
	2019-LA-92	418	9,446	2	98	This wiretap obtained incriminating statements against 5 individuals involved in the murder. The wiretap also helped solve a shooting and will assist in the prosecution of 3 gang members for conspiracy to commit murder. Agents seized over a pound of narcotics and took two children into protective custody. 8 gang members were arrested.
	2019-LA-93	40	3,350	2	98	This wiretap obtained incriminating statements against 5 individuals involved in the murder. The wiretap also helped solve a shooting and will assist in the prosecution of 3 gang members for conspiracy to commit murder. Agents seized over a pound of narcotics and took two children into protective custody. 8 gang members were arrested. Another suspect was arrested for illegal weapons and narcotics, who will be charged with conspiracy to bring narcotics into prison.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-94	85	1,063	1	99	This wiretap obtained incriminating statements against 5 individuals involved in the murder. The wiretap also helped solve a shooting and will assist in the prosecution of 3 gang members for conspiracy to commit murder. Agents seized over a pound of narcotics and took two children into protective custody. 8 gang members were arrested. Another suspect was arrested for illegal weapons and narcotics, who will be charged with conspiracy to bring narcotics into prison.
	2019-LA-95	34	1,160	1	99	This wiretap obtained incriminating statements against 5 individuals involved in the murder. The wiretap also helped solve a shooting and will assist in the prosecution of 3 gang members for conspiracy to commit murder. Agents seized over a pound of narcotics and took two children into protective custody. 8 gang members were arrested. Another suspect was arrested for illegal weapons and narcotics, who will be charged with conspiracy to bring narcotics into prison.
	2019-LA-96	81	654	1	99	The targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-97	52	662	45	55	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-98	261	1,167	15	85	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Several arrests were made and narcotics were seized.
	2019-LA-99	45	3,582	13	87	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-100	150	5,501	38	62	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-101	86	2,515	6	94	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-102	220	7,919	23	77	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-103	443	21,296	13	87	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Several arrests were made and narcotics were seized.
	2019-LA-104	119	4,695	78	22	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$440,000 in narcotics proceeds and approximately 4 kilograms of cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-105	71	1,006	11	89	Targets discontinued use of target telephones. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2019-LA-106	91	1,230	57	43	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 5 kilograms of cocaine.
	2019-LA-107	83	1,103	12	88	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-108	177	1,925	62	38	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 81 pounds of methamphetamine and approximately 7 kilograms of heroin.
	2019-LA-109	118	1,869	38	62	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-110	30	864	15	85	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-111	583	42,966	10	90	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO. Several arrests were made and narcotics and narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2019-LA-112	2,089	11,751	4	96	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. An arrest was made and narcotics were seized.
	2019-LA-113	583	9,663	7	93	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Agents made arrest(s) and seized narcotics.
	2019-LA-116	66	2,583	72	28	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$158,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-117	121	2,201	51	49	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 65 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2019-LA-118	229	5,105	46	54	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$40,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-LA-119	6	48	27	73	Targets discontinued use of target telephone prior to enforcement.
	2019-LA-120	165	1,071	14	86	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizure.
	2019-LA-121	134	1,196	41	59	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 26 kilograms of cocaine.
	2019-LA-122	13	94	55	45	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-123	36	372	28	72	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-124	339	3,239	5	95	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-125	59	2,194	1	99	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-126	133	1,044	4	96	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-127	819	7,377	4	96	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-128	296	7,789	14	86	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Several arrests were made and narcotics were seized.
	2019-LA-129	38	246	16	84	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-130	555	12,292	83	17	This wiretap resulted in one arrest and the seizure of fentanyl and cocaine.
	2019-LA-131	11	33	66	34	Interception of targets telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-132	148	7,633	24	76	This wiretap resulted in the identification of the target and co-conspirators in the distribution of narcotics, robbery for the benefit of the gang, and illegal possession of firearms. The wiretap also prevented a robbery attempt in Riverside County and ultimately led to the seizure of multiple firearms, removing firearms from the streets of both Los Angeles and Riverside Counties.
	2019-LA-133	46	1,554	33	67	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$19,780 and approximately 5,000 fentanyl pills. The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-134	77	1,009	23	77	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-135	31	747	49	51	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-136	242	6,838	14	86	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-137	78	5,583	10	90	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-138	62	688	17	83	The DEA continued this investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-139	210	7,928	23	77	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Agents made arrest(s) and seized narcotics.
	2019-LA-140	90	2,393	1	99	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-141	48	380	22	78	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings.
	2019-LA-142	1,594	12,157	8	92	This wiretap resulted in the identification of the target and co-conspirators in the distribution of narcotics, robbery for the benefit of the gang, and illegal possession of firearms. The wiretap also prevented a robbery attempt in Riverside County and ultimately led to the seizure of multiple firearms, removing firearms from the streets of both Los Angeles and Riverside Counties.
	2019-LA-143	153	5,548	45	55	This wiretap identified a type of foreign-imported, domestic-distributed controlled substance. The wiretap assisted in identifying the network, trademark, origination point, other co-conspirators, and has assisted other agencies in furthering their investigations. The investigation remains ongoing and is being reviewed for federal adoption.
	2019-LA-144	59	1,531	43	57	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-145	260	2,487	5	95	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-146	175	2,531	42	58	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-147	32	453	34	66	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-148	550	25,708	0.1	100	Targets were subjects in a murder investigation.
	2019-LA-149	144	14,553	0	100	Targets were subjects in a murder investigation.
	2019-LA-150	86	7,927	0.3	100	Targets were subjects in a murder investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-151	323	13,476	25	75	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Agents made arrest(s).
	2019-LA-152	140	2,321	31	69	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-153	19	284	64	36	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-154	86	1,805	18	82	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-155	0	0	0	0	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-156	42	337	16	84	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-157	59	858	57	43	This wiretap resulted in the identification of a network importer and exporter. May lead to federal prosecution.
	2019-LA-158	300	1,874	3	97	This wiretap resulted in a significant disruption within the targeted criminal enterprise. This investigation is ongoing.
	2019-LA-159	246	4,526	0	100	Targets were subjects in several murders and assault with a deadly weapon with a firearm investigations.
	2019-LA-160	133	4,898	0.004	100	Targets were involved in gang related violent activity and crimes including conspiracy to commit murder and illegal shootings.
	2019-LA-161	158	2,495	2	98	Targets were subjects in a murder investigation and other violent gang related crimes.
	2019-LA-162	73	1,641	0	100	Targets were subjects in a murder investigation.
	2019-LA-163	103	707	55	45	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-164	30	425	1	99	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-165	210	4,984	9	91	This wiretap resulted in the identification of additional members of the DTO and allowed agents to learn more about the DTO's workings. Agents made arrest(s).
	2019-LA-166	1	75	0	100	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any enforcement.
	2019-LA-167	87	1,786	10	90	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$55,000 and 60 pounds of methamphetamine. The DEA continued this investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-168	153	5,454	13	87	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 40 pounds of methamphetamine. The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-169	330	9,599	10	90	The DEA continued this investigation.
	2019-LA-170	140	2,319	71	29	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-171	0	17	0	100	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-172	35	3,418	0.5	99	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-173	60	350	11	89	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2019-LA-174	0	0	0	100	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
Orange	2019-OR-1	216	4,344	14	86	The interceptions of communications among those in a narcotics trafficking cell showed direction given to couriers in the United States and Mexico and identified eight Mexican suppliers.
	2019-OR-2	124	1,079	8	92	This wiretap investigation assisted in solving a murder where a defendant had fled to Mexico.
	2019-OR-3	207	39,910	1	99	
	2019-OR-4	149	821	7	93	See 2019-OR-7.
	2019-OR-5	247	1,558	20	80	See 2019-OR-7.
	2019-OR-6	414	2,641	25	75	See 2019-OR-7.
	2019-OR-7	490	12,556	9	91	This wiretap investigation assisted in identifying, and providing evidence resulting in the arrest of, 21 gang members, as well as the seizure of firearms and controlled substances.
2019-OR-8	2	1,383	1	99	This wiretap investigation produced leads related to "cold case" homicide. The investigation is ongoing.	
Riverside	2018-RIV-41	74	5,930	26	74	This wiretap investigation has resulted in two arrests and the seizure of approximately 14 kilograms of narcotics and \$88,000 in narcotics proceeds. The investigation is ongoing.
	2018-RIV-53	156	6,701	6	94	
	2018-RIV-56	31	423	8	92	
	2018-RIV-57	42	10,768	35	65	
	2018-RIV-58	71	3,385	21	79	This investigation has resulted in the seizure of approximately 473 kilograms of narcotics and \$1,337,000 in narcotics proceeds.
2019-RIV-1	15	1,098	1	99		

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2019-RIV-2	18	544	1	99	
	2019-RIV-3	54	1,001	24	76	During this wiretap investigation, investigators obtained information about the movement of possible narcotics from a target residence to another location.
	2019-RIV-4	87	3,116	16	84	
	2019-RIV-5	493	9,416	6	94	
	2019-RIV-6	66	1,424	32	68	
	2019-RIV-7	30	3,488	14	86	This wiretap investigation resulted in the arrest of two individuals and the seizure of approximately 200 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2019-RIV-8	9	25	44	56	
	2019-RIV-9	111	1,647	36	64	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of 4.9 pounds of cocaine, 4.2 pounds of methamphetamine, four rifles, one handgun, and over \$50,000.
	2019-RIV-10	20	550	3	97	This ongoing investigation has resulted in the seizure of over 619 pounds of cocaine, 151 pounds of methamphetamine, and approximately \$1.8 million in narcotics proceeds.
	2019-RIV-11	41	348	5	95	
	2019-RIV-12	2	3,357	10	90	
	2019-RIV-13	376	28,859	12	88	
	2019-RIV-14	73	2,541	27	73	
	2019-RIV-15	224	6,433	36	64	
	2019-RIV-16	623	22,621	10	90	
	2019-RIV-17	17	206	67	33	This wiretap investigation resulted in the arrests of two individuals and the seizure of \$126,100 in narcotics.
	2019-RIV-18	54	1,545	61	39	
	2019-RIV-19	71	2,878	21	79	
	2019-RIV-20	3,936	4,422	35	65	
	2019-RIV-21	34	881	26	74	
	2019-RIV-22	20	459	48	52	
	2019-RIV-23	449	18,874	19	81	
	2019-RIV-24	412	11,411	14	86	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of 37 pounds of methamphetamine and approximately \$119,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2019-RIV-25	17	88	40	60	
	2019-RIV-26	66	4,849	17	83	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2019-RIV-27	99	1,352	32	68	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of approximately 753 pounds of methamphetamine and 2.6 pounds of heroin.
	2019-RIV-28	227	14,482	11	89	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of approximately \$21,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2019-RIV-29	313	5,982	29	71	
	2019-RIV-30	24	650	50	50	
	2019-RIV-31	140	1,677	8	92	
	2019-RIV-32	0	0			
	2019-RIV-34	43	789	21	79	
	2019-RIV-38	67	2,928	67	33	During the course of this intercept order, there were no significant seizures.
	2019-RIV-39	37	2,191	17	83	
Sacramento	2019-SAC-3	5	27,940	25	75	The interceptions aided the prosecution of one murder and four attempted murders. Investigators intercepted communications from targets discussing details of crimes that were corroborated by physical evidence, located the suspect vehicle, and executed multiple search warrants.
	2019-SAC-4	2	7	0	50	
	2019-SAC-5	10	10	0	100	The interceptions led to evidence in a kidnapping case, which culminated in an arrest.
	2019-SAC-6	15	1,620	19	81	This is an ongoing investigation.
San Bernardino	2019-SBD-1	257	3,378	6	94	Intercepted telephone communications provided investigators with information related to an ongoing investigation of a criminal street gang, including intelligence to be used to identify members or associates of the gang and their involvement in criminal activity in San Bernardino County including fraud and identity theft-related crimes. The investigation is ongoing.
	2019-SBD-2	23	43	28	72	
	2019-SBD-3	720	4,916	5	95	This investigation is ongoing.
	2019-SBD-4	283	12,400	1	99	The intercepted communications revealed individuals involved in a DTO, which operates in the United States and Mexico and primarily traffics large amounts of narcotics. The investigation is ongoing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2019-SBD-5	2,240	19,890	7	93	
	2019-SBD-6	322	1,800	26	74	This investigation is ongoing.
	2019-SBD-7	1,235	8,991	11	89	The subject of this investigation was part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-8	39	9,926	5	95	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of narcotics, U.S. currency, and a firearm.
	2019-SBD-9	722	3,237	14	86	During this wiretap investigation, investigators identified suppliers of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-10	470	1,511	19	81	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of drugs, firearms, and U.S. currency.
	2019-SBD-11	658	12,371	2	98	The intercepted communications revealed individuals involved in a DTO, which operates in the United States and Mexico and traffics large amounts of narcotics. The investigation is ongoing.
	2019-SBD-12	475	3,408	8	92	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of narcotics, firearms, and U.S. currency.
	2019-SBD-13	0	0			The telephone was no longer in use at the time of implementation of the wiretap order.
	2019-SBD-14	311	4,718	4	96	The subject of this investigation was part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-15	417	8,665	12	88	The intercepted communications revealed individuals involved in a DTO, which operates in the United States and Mexico and primarily traffics large amounts of narcotics. The investigation is ongoing.
	2019-SBD-16	11	43	2	98	The subject of this investigation was part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-18	157	3,453	22	78	During the interception period, one person was arrested for sales of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-19	515	2,527	5	95	The subject of this investigation was part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-20	1,481	9,515	15	85	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of drugs, firearms, and U.S. currency.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2019-SBD-21	1,400	4,447	20	80	This wiretap investigation resulted in the arrest of one individual and the seizure of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-22	28	1,012	0	100	
	2019-SBD-23	170	1,570	2	98	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-24	5	14	0	100	The subject of this investigation was part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-25	2,296	7,298	11	89	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of narcotics, firearms, and U.S. currency.
	2019-SBD-26	210	2,159	0	100	The target discontinued use of the target telephone, which resulted in no seizures of drugs or money.
	2019-SBD-27	71	2,331	1	99	This wiretap investigation was used to obtain information about an individual suspected of having committed three homicides.
	2019-SBD-28	291	4,295	16	84	The subject of this investigation was part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-29	635	6,326	14	86	This wiretap investigation resulted in arrests, and this is an ongoing matter.
	2019-SBD-30	35	602	27	73	The subject of this investigation was part of a DTO operating in California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-31	61	908	32	68	The subjects of this investigation are part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-32	1	49	0	100	The telephone was no longer in use at the time of implementation of the wiretap order.
	2019-SBD-33	0	0			The telephone was no longer in use at the time of implementation of the wiretap order.
	2019-SBD-34	43	277	4	96	Subjects were arrested en route to commit a crime. The case is pending.
	2019-SBD-35	65	853	19	81	The subjects of this investigation are part of a DTO operating in Southern California and engaging in the sale and transportation of narcotics.
	2019-SBD-36	707	10,088	4	96	
	2019-SBD-37	1,626	6,677	9	91	
	2019-SBD-38	3,929	23,100	6	94	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2019-SBD-39	300	1,645	2	98	
San Diego	2019-SD-1	2,652	14,440	18	82	This wiretap investigation assisted in the infiltration of a violent criminal organization responsible for distributing thousands of pounds of illegal drugs per month. The participants in this organization, and the drugs they are distributing, are causing significant negative impact both locally and nationally.
	2019-SD-2	165	8,604	31	69	See 2019-SD-5.
	2019-SD-3	28	235	29	71	See 2019-SD-5.
	2019-SD-4	0	0	0	0	See 2019-SD-5.
	2019-SD-5	228	5,690	22	78	This wiretap investigation involved a DTO suspected of distribution of multiple-pound weights of methamphetamine and cocaine and money laundering activity, and which has ties to California, Kentucky, and Nevada. The wiretaps identified 35 participants in the DTO and \$130,000 in funds transferred to the DTO in a one-year timeframe. Investigators obtained four search warrants and nine arrest warrants; seized multiple firearms, multiple vehicles, and approximately 75 pounds of methamphetamine; and arrested six individuals who are facing prosecution.
	2019-SD-6	165	4,833	30	70	This wiretap investigation involved the prevention of harm stemming from credible information that criminal street gangs were working together to seriously harm or kill a San Diego Police Officer before the end of the year. The investigation further aimed to target and prevent the criminal activities of these street gangs, including but not limited to drug sales. While results are pending, the investigation yielded approximately two ounces of methamphetamine and \$16,500.
San Joaquin	2019-SJ-2	672	9,750	5	95	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-3	53	5,712	8	92	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-4	731	27,727	11	89	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-5	606	35,953	10	90	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-6	691	6,711	5	95	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-7	463	11,789	6	94	See 2019-SJ-17.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2019-SJ-8	200	1,144	9	91	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-9	132	4,098	6	94	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-10	500	15,266	7	93	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-11	11	24	20.8	79	See 2019-SJ-12.
	2019-SJ-12	49	709	1	99	The interceptions contributed to the development of evidence used to support arrest warrants and prosecutions for a murder. They also yielded evidence that supported search warrants that led to the acquisition of additional evidence.
	2019-SJ-13	1,803	16,681	15	85	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-14	977	5,744	6	94	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-15	20	667	5	95	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-16	72	666	6	94	See 2019-SJ-17.
	2019-SJ-17	126	499	4	96	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of evidence of the engagement of members of an identified criminal street gang in the crimes of attempted murder, conspiracy to commit murder, conspiracy to commit robbery, drug trafficking, and firearms offenses for the benefit of, at the direction of, or in association with the gang. During the investigation, several acts of violence, including planned robberies, assaults, and murder, were prevented. Intercepted communications also identified members and associates of a known criminal street gang in the county. This investigation involved the service of 96 search warrants, 50 felony arrests, and the seizure of evidence including 43 firearms and approximately 25 pounds of narcotics, including fentanyl, heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine, and marijuana.
	2019-SJ-18	1,525	12,465	28	72	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-19	0	0			See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-20	765	4,365	5.6	94	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-21	935	5,959	7	93	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-22	150	1,187	7	93	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-23	27	305	2	98	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-24	154	764	7	93	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-25	62	667	25	75	See 2019-SJ-33.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2019-SJ-26	0	0			See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-27	179	8,715	13	87	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-28	80	1,810	13	87	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-29	51	1,077	6	94	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-30	119	2,265	10	90	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-31	116	1,626	12	88	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-32	61	382	9	91	See 2019-SJ-33.
	2019-SJ-33	41	217	10	90	This wiretap investigation confirmed the existence of an ongoing violent conflict between two local gangs and resulted in the seizure of evidence that members of an identified criminal street gang engaged in homicide, attempted homicide, pimping/human trafficking, conspiracy to commit robbery, drug trafficking, and firearms offenses. Intercepted communications also identified additional members and associates of two criminal street gangs in Stockton and San Joaquin County. This investigation involved service of 20 search warrants, 16 felony arrests, and the seizure of evidence including nine firearms. It also led to the identification of several victims of human trafficking, pimping, and domestic violence.
San Luis Obispo	2019-SLO-1	102	5,660	8	92	This wiretap order was part of an investigation of an unsolved homicide, which spanned several months and led to three individuals being charged with murder.
	2019-SLO-2	375	11,687	10	90	This wiretap order was part of an investigation of narcotics trafficking, which spanned several months and will likely lead to the seeking of charges against several suspects for conspiracy to distribute illegal narcotics. The wiretap investigation was necessary because of the sophistication of, and amount of narcotics distributed by, the DTO.
Santa Clara	2019-SCL-2	223	5,414	20	80	During this ongoing investigation of solicitation of murder, conspiracy to commit murder, and conspiracy to commit aggravated kidnapping, law enforcement obtained information relating to members of the suspected conspiracy and prevented a murder.
	2019-SCL-3	73	1,274	15	85	See 2019-SCL-2.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2019-SCL-4	11	92			See 2019-SCL-2.
	2019-SCL-6	269	10,769	0	100	
	2019-SCL-7	269	1,121	0	100	
Stanislaus	2019-STA-1	175	6,669	14	86	See 2019-STA-7.
	2019-STA-2	240	12,378	23	77	See 2019-STA-7.
	2019-STA-3	57	488	6	94	See 2019-STA-7.
	2019-STA-4	45	898	61	39	See 2019-STA-7.
	2019-STA-5	157	6,216	16	84	See 2019-STA-7.
	2019-STA-6	56	2,141	9	91	See 2019-STA-7.
	2019-STA-7	20	268	72	28	This wiretap investigation resulted in the gathering of evidence of the crimes of attempted murder, conspiracy to commit murder, conspiracy to commit robbery, drug trafficking, and firearms offenses, committed by gang members for the benefit of, at the direction of, or in association with a criminal street gang. During the investigation, several acts of violence, including planned home invasion robberies, kidnapping, and murder, were prevented. Intercepted communications also identified the command structure of a criminal street gang in Stanislaus and San Joaquin Counties. The investigation led to 28 felony arrests and the seizure of 21 firearms, 1.5 pounds of methamphetamine, an ounce of heroin, and \$16,950.00.
	2019-STA-8	17	277	9	91	During this ongoing investigation, interceptions facilitated the gathering of evidence related to two murders and three attempted murders believed to have been committed by members and associates of a gang.
Tulare	2020-TUL-2	200,513	4,303			This wiretap investigation resulted in the dismantling of the Norteno criminal street gang in the Cutler/Orosi area, the prevention of several violent assaults, and the solving of an attempted homicide.
	2020-TUL-3	158	5,740			See 2020-TUL-2.
	2020-TUL-4	200,513	4,303			See 2020-TUL-2.
	2020-TUL-5	118	2,683			See 2020-TUL-2.
	2020-TUL-6	98	3,399			See 2020-TUL-2.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura	2019-VE-1	1,013	5,304	9	91	This investigation led to the seizure of approximately 17 kilograms of suspected heroin/fentanyl.
	2019-VE-2	1,033	15,193	10	90	This wiretap investigation led to the identification of members of a DTO, stash houses, and members of a gang. As a result of this investigation, investigators disrupted the flow of narcotics into the community and disrupted the gang and its hierarchy.
	2019-VE-3	1,499	11,596	16	84	This wiretap investigation led to the identification of members of a DTO, stash houses, and members of a gang. As a result of this investigation, investigators disrupted the flow of narcotics into the community and disrupted the gang and its hierarchy.
	2019-VE-4	6	8,602	26	74	This wiretap investigation assisted in determining the workings of a DTO and identifying persons involved with it. During the period of interceptions, investigators seized 46 pounds of methamphetamine, over two pounds of heroin, one loaded firearm, and \$14,000.
	2019-VE-5	9	12,387	31	69	This investigation resulted in the seizure of over 668 pounds of narcotics, 26 firearms, and \$149,000.
	2019-VE-6	8	11,339	24	76	This wiretap investigation assisted in determining the workings of a DTO and identifying persons involved with it. During the period of interceptions, investigators seized 411 pounds of methamphetamine, nine pounds of heroin, six pounds of fentanyl, 5,000 fentanyl pills, six pounds of cocaine, 25 firearms, and \$116,491.
	2019-VE-7	930	10,248	2	98	This investigation is ongoing.
	2019-VE-8	1	3,920	18	82	This wiretap investigation assisted in determining the workings of a DTO and identifying persons involved with it.
	2020-VE-1	351	10,692	2	98	This wiretap investigation facilitated the identification of members of a gang, identification of suspects of crime being investigated, and the arrests of known gang members.

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2019-ALA-1	Wingate, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon R. Rolefson	2/10/2020	11/12/2019	12/18/2019	207
	2019-ALA-2	Wingate, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon R. Rolefson	2/10/2020	11/12/2019	12/18/2019	See 2019-ALA-1
	2019-ALA-3	Wingate, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon R. Rolefson	2/10/2020	11/12/2019	12/18/2019	See 2019-ALA-1
Contra Costa	2019-CC-21	Gonzalez, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	6/3/2019		5/30/2019	138
	2019-CC-22	Sears, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	11/15/2019	8/15/2019	11/8/2019	31
	2019-CC-23	Sears, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	11/15/2019	8/15/2019	11/8/2019	31
	2019-CC-24	Sears, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	11/15/2019	8/15/2019	11/8/2019	31
	2019-CC-25	Sears, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	11/15/2019	8/15/2019	11/8/2019	31
	2019-CC-26	Gilfry, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	1/18/2020	12/9/2019		
	2019-CC-27	Hannis, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/12/2019		12/12/2019	16
	2019-CC-28	Wright, Detective	Barry Grove	Hon. John T. Laettner	2/13/2020			
Kern	2019-KE-2	Garrett, Kern	Eric Smith	Hon. J. Brownlee	10/30/2019		10/31/2019	21
	2019-KE-3	Garrett, Kern	Eric Smith	Hon. J. Brownlee	10/30/2019		10/31/2019	21
	2019-KE-4	Garrett, Kern	Eric Smith	Hon. J. Brownlee	10/30/2019		10/30/2019	21
	2019-KE-5	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-6	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-7	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-8	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-9	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-10	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-11	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kern (cont'd)	2019-KE-12	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-13	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-14	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-15	Pari, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-16	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-17	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-18	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-19	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-20	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
	2019-KE-21	Pair, Kern	David McKillop	Hon. J. Brownlee	1/17/2020		1/17/2020	
Kings	2020-KI-1	Estrada, Task Force Officer (TFO)	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-2	Sinclair, CADOJ	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-3	Dobbins, CADOJ	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-4	Estrada, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-5	Dobbins, CADOJ	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-6	Kurtz, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-7	Sinclair, CADOJ	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-8	Dobbins, CADOJ	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-9	Estrada, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-10	Dobbins, CADOJ	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-11	Estrada, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kings (cont'd)	2020-KI-12	Kurtz, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-13	Estrada, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-14	Dobbins, CADOJ	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-15	Aguirre, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Michael Reinhart	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-16	Estrada, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Robert Burns	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	See 2020-KI-17
	2020-KI-17	Estrada, TFO	Keith Fagundes	Hon. Robert Burns	9/16/2019		9/18/2019	438
Los Angeles	2019-LA-9	Ruelas, Task Force Officer (TFO)	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2020	12/13/2019		
	2019-LA-10	Ibarra, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/15/2019		7/22/2019	96
	2019-LA-12	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2019		3/21/2019	0
	2019-LA-13	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2019		3/21/2019	0
	2019-LA-14	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/23/2019		8/26/2019	3
	2019-LA-15	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/15/2019		8/20/2019	4
	2019-LA-16	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/17/2019		4/18/2019	2
	2019-LA-17	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/11/2019	4/17/2019	7/12/2019	8
	2019-LA-18	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/19/2020	12/20/2019		
	2019-LA-19	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/13/2019		6/17/2019	15
	2019-LA-20	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/26/2019		7/29/2019	2
	2019-LA-21	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2020	12/3/2019		
	2019-LA-22	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/3/2019		5/6/2019	3
	2019-LA-23	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/3/2019		5/6/2019	1
	2019-LA-24	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2020	11/27/2019		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-25	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/26/2019		7/29/2019	4
	2019-LA-26	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/11/2019		7/12/2019	5
	2019-LA-27	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-28	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/11/2019		7/12/2019	4
	2019-LA-29	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/23/2019	5/15/2019	8/28/2019	4
	2019-LA-30	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/15/2019		5/16/2019	2
	2019-LA-31	Ubovich, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/23/2019	5/15/2019	8/28/2019	5
	2019-LA-32	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/15/2019	5/15/2019	8/20/2019	6
	2019-LA-33	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/15/2019	5/15/2019	8/20/2019	1
	2019-LA-34	Ruelas, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/23/2019	8/16/2019	10/28/2019	5
	2019-LA-35	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2020	12/13/2019		
	2019-LA-36	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/11/2019		7/12/2019	5
	2019-LA-37	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/19/2019		8/22/2019	3
	2019-LA-38	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2020	12/13/2019		
	2019-LA-39	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2019	9/26/2019	1/2/2020	5
	2019-LA-40	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/4/2019		10/7/2019	1
	2019-LA-41	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/20/2020	10/22/2019		
	2019-LA-42	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/4/2019		9/6/2019	1
	2019-LA-43	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/22/2019		10/23/2019	3
	2019-LA-44	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/13/2020			
	2019-LA-45	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/4/2019		9/6/2019	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-46	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/23/2019	8/16/2019	10/28/2019	1
	2019-LA-47	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/13/2019		9/19/2019	2
	2019-LA-48	Krivak, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/24/2019		5/28/2019	27
	2019-LA-49	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2019	9/26/2019	1/2/2020	4
	2019-LA-50	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/23/2019		10/28/2019	4
	2019-LA-51	Gomez, TFO	John Spillane	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/13/2019		6/17/2019	15
	2019-LA-52	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/28/2019	4/24/2019	7/1/2019	5
	2019-LA-53	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/30/2020	11/1/2019		
	2019-LA-54	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/13/2019		6/17/2019	15
	2019-LA-55	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/13/2019		6/17/2019	17
	2019-LA-56	Gomez, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/13/2019		6/17/2019	32
	2019-LA-57	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/15/2019		8/20/2019	4
	2019-LA-58	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/28/2019		7/1/2019	4
	2019-LA-59	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	24
	2019-LA-60	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	6
	2019-LA-61	Oropeza, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2020	12/3/2019		
	2019-LA-62	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	7
	2019-LA-63	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/30/2020	11/1/2019		
	2019-LA-64	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/30/2020	11/1/2019		
	2019-LA-65	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/19/2019		8/22/2019	1
	2019-LA-66	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/15/2019		8/20/2019	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-67	Gomez, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	1
	2019-LA-68	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2020	12/13/2019		
	2019-LA-69	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2020	11/13/2019		
	2019-LA-70	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/17/2019		7/17/2019	0
	2019-LA-71	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/20/2020	10/23/2019		
	2019-LA-72	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/27/2020			
	2019-LA-73	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/2/2020			
	2019-LA-74	Ruelas, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2020	12/13/2019		
	2019-LA-75	Ruelas, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2019		12/4/2019	2
	2019-LA-76	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/23/2019		10/28/2019	1
	2019-LA-77	Gonzales, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/28/2019		7/10/2019	337
	2019-LA-78	Ruelas, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/4/2019		10/7/2019	4
	2019-LA-79	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/23/2019		7/24/2019	3
	2019-LA-80	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/31/2019			
	2019-LA-81	Oropeza, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2020	12/3/2019		
	2019-LA-82	Oropeza, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2020	12/3/2019		
	2019-LA-83	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2019	9/26/2019	1/2/2020	5
	2019-LA-84	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/24/2019		10/30/2019	1
	2019-LA-85	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2019		12/2/2019	1
	2019-LA-86	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2019		1/2/2020	1
	2019-LA-87	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/10/2020			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-88	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2019		12/4/2019	1
	2019-LA-89	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-90	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/26/2019		7/29/2019	1
	2019-LA-91	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2019		8/15/2019	3
	2019-LA-92	Giles, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2019	5/19/2019	8/20/2019	306
	2019-LA-93	Giles, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2019	5/21/2019	8/20/2019	119
	2019-LA-94	Giles, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2019	5/21/2019	8/20/2019	67
	2019-LA-95	Giles, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2019	5/21/2019	8/20/2019	32
	2019-LA-96	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/7/2019		6/11/2019	2
	2019-LA-97	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	13
	2019-LA-98	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2019		9/9/2019	1
	2019-LA-99	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	7
	2019-LA-100	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	30
	2019-LA-101	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	36
	2019-LA-102	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/8/2019		11/13/2019	36
	2019-LA-103	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2019		9/6/2019	0
	2019-LA-104	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2020	12/13/2019		
	2019-LA-105	Ruelas, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-106	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-107	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/15/2019	1
	2019-LA-108	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2019		12/4/2019	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-109	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2019		12/4/2019	2
	2019-LA-110	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/13/2019		9/19/2019	1
	2019-LA-111	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/11/2019		10/16/2019	5
	2019-LA-112	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/17/2019		10/18/2019	1
	2019-LA-113	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/24/2019		10/30/2019	4
	2019-LA-116	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/18/2020	11/20/2019		
	2019-LA-117	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2019		12/4/2019	3
	2019-LA-118	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/14/2020			
	2019-LA-119	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/15/2019	1
	2019-LA-120	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/6/2020			
	2019-LA-121	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/5/2020			
	2019-LA-122	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2019		1/2/2020	1
	2019-LA-123	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/15/2020			
	2019-LA-124	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/15/2019	1
	2019-LA-125	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/15/2019	1
	2019-LA-126	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/15/2019	1
	2019-LA-127	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/13/2019	0
	2019-LA-128	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/15/2019	9
	2019-LA-129	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2019		11/15/2019	1
	2019-LA-130	White, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2020	12/18/2019		
	2019-LA-131	Ruelas, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2019	9/27/2019	12/4/2019	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-132	Rojas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/30/2020	11/1/2019		
	2019-LA-133	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/14/2020			
	2019-LA-134	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-135	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-136	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-137	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-138	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/4/2020			
	2019-LA-139	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2020			
	2019-LA-140	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2020			
	2019-LA-141	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2020			
	2019-LA-142	Black, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/30/2020	11/1/2019		
	2019-LA-143	Urbina, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/6/2020			
	2019-LA-144	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/10/2020			
	2019-LA-145	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/20/2020			
	2019-LA-146	Ubovich, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/27/2020			
	2019-LA-147	Ubovich, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2020			
	2019-LA-148	Gomez, Deputy Sheriff	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2020	11/27/2019		
	2019-LA-149	Gomez, Deputy Sheriff	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2020	11/27/2019		
	2019-LA-150	Gomez, Deputy Sheriff	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2020	11/27/2019		
	2019-LA-151	Carrillo, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/18/2020			
	2019-LA-152	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2020			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-153	Ubovich, TFO	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2020			
	2019-LA-154	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2020			
	2019-LA-155	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2020			
	2019-LA-156	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/24/2020			
	2019-LA-157	Rodriguez, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2020			
	2019-LA-158	Sanchez, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/20/2020			
	2019-LA-159	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2020	1/8/2020		
	2019-LA-160	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2020			
	2019-LA-161	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2020			
	2019-LA-162	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/9/2020			
	2019-LA-163	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/3/2020			
	2019-LA-164	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/1/2020			
	2019-LA-165	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/10/2020			
	2019-LA-166	Calderon, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/8/2020			
	2019-LA-167	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/16/2020			
	2019-LA-168	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/3/2020			
	2019-LA-169	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2020			
	2019-LA-170	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/22/2020			
	2019-LA-171	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/22/2020			
	2019-LA-172	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/29/2020			
	2019-LA-173	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/29/2020			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-174	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/29/2020			
Orange	2019-OR-1	Urbina, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kim Menninger	1/17/2020	10/17/2019		
	2019-OR-2	Greene, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kim Menninger	6/3/2019		6/13/2019	7
	2019-OR-3	Tinajero, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kim Menninger	3/13/2020	12/13/2019		
	2019-OR-4	Greene, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kim Menninger	1/17/2020	10/18/2019		
	2019-OR-5	Zuniga, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	1/25/2020	10/28/2019		
	2019-OR-6	Zuniga, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	1/25/2020	10/28/2019		
	2019-OR-7	Greene, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	2/5/2020	11/7/2019		
	2019-OR-8	Reger, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kim Menninger	2/16/2020	11/15/2019		
Riverside	2018-RIV-41	Escanuelas, Task Force Officer (TFO)	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	4/1/2019	3/26/2020		
	2018-RIV-53	Menely, Special Agent	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	5/18/2019	5/13/2020		
	2018-RIV-56	Menely, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	4/13/2019	4/7/2020		
	2018-RIV-57	Rhodes, Investigator	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	4/12/2019	7/16/2019	8/15/2019	41
	2018-RIV-58	Menely, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	6/19/2019	6/11/2020		
	2019-RIV-1	Cortez, Detective	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	5/3/2019		3/6/2019	15
	2019-RIV-2	Cortez, Detective	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	5/3/2019		3/6/2019	18
	2019-RIV-3	Hastings, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	6/23/2019		9/17/2019	9
	2019-RIV-4	Menely, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	6/23/2019	6/15/2020		
	2019-RIV-5	Swan, Investigator	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	11/10/2019		10/21/2019	196
	2019-RIV-6	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	7/6/2019	6/26/2020		
	2019-RIV-7	Menely, Special Agent	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/1/2019	2/27/2020		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2019-RIV-8	Swan, Investigator	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/10/2019		9/17/2019	1
	2019-RIV-9	Ramirez, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/28/2019	3/30/2020		
	2019-RIV-10	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	8/18/2019	2/12/2020		
	2019-RIV-11	Swan, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	8/23/2019		9/17/2019	20
	2019-RIV-12	Jurado, Investigator	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	9/22/2019		12/18/2019	20
	2019-RIV-13	Mcnamus, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	1/19/2020			
	2019-RIV-14	Swan, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	11/23/2019		10/21/2019	18
	2019-RIV-15	Ramirez, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	10/20/2019	4/20/2020		
	2019-RIV-16	Swan, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	3/25/2020			
	2019-RIV-17	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	11/2/2019	4/28/2020		
	2019-RIV-18	Tully, Special Agent	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	10/27/2019		9/17/2019	3
	2019-RIV-19	Brosowski, Detective	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	11/24/2019		10/21/2019	24
	2019-RIV-20	Ramirez, Investigator	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	12/28/2019	3/31/2020		
	2019-RIV-21	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/6/2019	6/3/2020		
	2019-RIV-22	Mcnamus, Investigator	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	12/17/2019	6/14/2020		
	2019-RIV-23	Swan, TFO	John Aki	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/25/2020			
	2019-RIV-24	Carroll, TFO	John Aki	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/14/2020			
	2019-RIV-25	Carroll, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	1/17/2020			
	2019-RIV-26	Swan, TFO	John Aki	Hon. John D. Molloy	2/16/2020			
	2019-RIV-27	Carroll, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	1/23/2020			
	2019-RIV-28	Carroll, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/26/2020			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2019-RIV-29	Ramirez, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	2/15/2020			
	2019-RIV-30	Swan, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	2/16/2020			
	2019-RIV-31	Carroll, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/1/2020			
	2019-RIV-32	Adcox, Detective	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/26/2020			
	2019-RIV-34	Perez, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/13/2020			
	2019-RIV-38	Carroll, Detective	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/26/2020			
	2019-RIV-39	Ramirez, TFO	Michael Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/26/2020			
Sacramento	2019-SAC-3	Trimpey, Detective	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White	10/30/2019			
	2019-SAC-4	Peters, Detective	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White				
	2019-SAC-5	Schwertfeger, Detective	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White	11/5/2019		11/5/2019	10
	2019-SAC-6	Jerguson, Investigator	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White	3/17/2020			
San Bernardino	2019-SBD-1	Davins, Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/11/2019		1/18/2019	80
	2019-SBD-2	Brosowske, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/24/2019	12/27/2019		
	2019-SBD-3	Caballero, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	7/2/2019		8/28/2019	349
	2019-SBD-4	Brosowske, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/20/2019	12/27/2019		
	2019-SBD-5	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. Arthur A. Harrison	7/24/2019		6/3/2019	158
	2019-SBD-6	Caballero, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. Arthur A. Harrison	7/25/2019		9/17/2019	54
	2019-SBD-7	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	12/27/2019	10/16/2019		
	2019-SBD-8	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/15/2019		6/3/2019	27

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2019-SBD-9	Godoy, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/19/2019	8/13/2019	9/26/2019	348
	2019-SBD-10	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/19/2019		7/29/2019	36
	2019-SBD-11	Brosowske, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/20/2019	12/27/2019		
	2019-SBD-12	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/27/2019		6/3/2019	33
	2019-SBD-14	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/6/2019	10/4/2019		
	2019-SBD-15	Brosowske, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	10/14/2019	12/27/2019		
	2019-SBD-16	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/16/2019	10/4/2019		
	2019-SBD-18	Godoy, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/26/2019		9/26/2019	97
	2019-SBD-19	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/26/2019	10/10/2019		
	2019-SBD-20	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	10/21/2019		10/18/2019	140
	2019-SBD-21	Reveles, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	11/15/2019		9/30/2019	309
	2019-SBD-22	Rodriguez, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	10/22/2019		12/21/2019	23
	2019-SBD-23	Reveles, Deputy Sheriff	Julia Peterson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	11/18/2019		9/30/2019	7
	2019-SBD-24	Marszalek, Corporal	Julia Peterson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	11/18/2019	10/10/2019		
	2019-SBD-25	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	12/5/2019		12/4/2019	140

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2019-SBD-26	Reveles, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	12/12/2019		9/23/2019	6
	2019-SBD-27	Guerry, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/8/2020	12/30/2019		
	2019-SBD-28	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/13/2020			
	2019-SBD-29	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/26/2020			
	2019-SBD-30	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/3/2020			
	2019-SBD-31	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/7/2020			
	2019-SBD-32	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/17/2020			
	2019-SBD-34	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/18/2020			
	2019-SBD-35	Marszalek, Corporal	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/4/2020			
	2019-SBD-36	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/5/2020			
	2019-SBD-37	Bean, Deputy	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/9/2020			
	2019-SBD-38	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/30/2020			
	2019-SBD-39	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/3/2020			
San Diego	2019-SD-1	Stein, Task Force Office, (TFO)	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/7/2020			
	2019-SD-2	Rowlett, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/2/2020	12/19/2019		
	2019-SD-3	Rowlett, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/2/2020	12/19/2019		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego (cont'd)	2019-SD-4	Rowlett, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/2/2020	12/19/2019		
	2019-SD-5	Rowlett, TFO	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/2/2020	12/19/2019		
	2019-SD-6	Hernandez, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/19/2020			
San Joaquin	2019-SJ-2	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/2/2020			
	2019-SJ-3	Palazzola, Agent	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	1/7/2020			
	2019-SJ-4	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/2/2020			
	2019-SJ-5	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/17/2020			
	2019-SJ-6	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/2/2020			
	2019-SJ-7	Palazzola, Agent	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	1/23/2020			
	2019-SJ-8	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	1/20/2020			
	2019-SJ-9	Guillen, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/11/2020			
	2019-SJ-10	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/12/2020			
	2019-SJ-11	Jesser, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/1/2020			
	2019-SJ-12	Jesser, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/1/2020			
	2019-SJ-13	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/23/2020			
	2019-SJ-14	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	1/26/2020			
	2019-SJ-15	Guillen, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/9/2020			
	2019-SJ-16	Moreno, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	2/10/2020			
	2019-SJ-17	Naves, TFO	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. Seth Hoyt	2/6/2020			
	2019-SJ-18	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	223
	2019-SJ-19	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	See 2019-SJ-18

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2019-SJ-20	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	See 2019-SJ-18
	2019-SJ-21	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	See 2019-SJ-18
	2019-SJ-22	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	See 2019-SJ-18
	2019-SJ-23	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	See 2019-SJ-18
	2019-SJ-24	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. Seth Hoyt	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	See 2019-SJ-18
	2019-SJ-25	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. Seth Hoyt	9/18/2019	8/23/2019	9/18/2019	See 2019-SJ-18
	2019-SJ-26	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
	2019-SJ-27	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
	2019-SJ-28	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
	2019-SJ-29	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
	2019-SJ-30	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
	2019-SJ-31	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
	2019-SJ-32	Knall, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
	2019-SJ-33	Kestler, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/10/2020	12/10/2019		
San Luis Obispo	2019-SLO-1	Abbas, DA Investigator	Jerret C. Gran	Hon. Dodie A. Harman	11/14/2019		11/13/2019	86
	2019-SLO-2	Doughty, Detective	Jerret C. Gran	Hon. Jesse Marino	2/13/2020			
Santa Clara	2019-SCL-2	Lao, Detective	Jeffrey Rosen	Hon. Vanessa Zecher	1/22/2020			
	2019-SCL-3	Lao, Detective	Jay Boyarsky	Hon. Vanessa Zecher	1/21/2020			
	2019-SCL-4	Lao, Detective	Jay Boyarsky	Hon. Vanessa Zecher	1/19/2020			
	2019-SCL-6	Bernardo, Detective	Jeffrey Rosen	Hon. Vanessa Zecher			8/16/2019	269
	2019-SCL-7	Bernardo, Detective	Jeffrey Rosen	Hon. Vanessa Zecher			8/16/2019	See 2019-SCL-6

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Stanislaus	2019-STA-1	Harmon, Officer	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/11/2019		9/12/2019	77
	2019-STA-2	Naves, Officer	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/11/2019	9/11/2019	11/19/2019	81
	2019-STA-3	Naves, Officer	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/11/2019		9/12/2019	26
	2019-STA-4	Naves, Officer	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/11/2019	9/11/2019	11/19/2019	20
	2019-STA-5	Naves, Officer	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Jack Jacobson	9/11/2019		9/12/2019	82
	2019-STA-6	Naves, Officer	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/11/2019		9/12/2019	23
	2019-STA-7	Naves, Officer	David Harris	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/11/2019		9/12/2019	9
	2019-STA-8	Castro, Sgt.	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	2/24/2020			
Tulare	2020-TUL-2	Sanchez, Sgt.	Timothy Ward	Hon. Michael Sheltzer				
	2020-TUL-3	Sanchez, Sgt.	Timothy Ward	Hon. Michael Sheltzer				
	2020-TUL-4	Sanchez, Sgt.	Timothy Ward	Hon. Michael Sheltzer				
	2020-TUL-5	Sanchez, Sgt.	Timothy Ward	Hon. Michael Sheltzer				
	2020-TUL-6	Sanchez, Sgt.	Timothy Ward	Hon. Michael Sheltzer				
Ventura	2019-VE-1	Malagon, Detective	Christopher Harman	Hon. Gilbert Romero	3/30/2020	1/2/2020		
	2019-VE-2	Cruz, Detective	Erin Meister	Hon. Rocky Baio	6/26/2019	6/26/2019	8/5/2019	317
	2019-VE-3	Schwankl, Detective	Erin Meister	Hon. Kent Kellegrew	6/26/2019	6/26/2019	8/5/2019	See 2019-VE-2
	2019-VE-4	Hennes, Detective	Christopher Harman	Hon. Derek Malan	2/19/2020	11/22/2019		
	2019-VE-5	Sunia, Detective	Christopher Harman	Hon. Gilbert Romero	2/19/2020	11/22/2019		
	2019-VE-6	Smith, Detective	Christopher Harman	Hon. Derek Malan	12/17/2019	11/22/2019		
	2019-VE-7	Foote, Detective	John Poore	Hon. Derek Malan	3/19/2020			
	2019-VE-8	Smith, Detective	Christopher Harman	Hon. Gilbert Romero	3/31/2020	1/2/2020		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2020-VE-1	Whittaker, Detective	David Russell	Hon. Derek Malan	5/16/2019		5/15/2019	98

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Alameda	2019-ALA-1	5 monitors; 1 agent; 1 technician	168,000	40,000	208,000
	2019-ALA-2	See 2019-ALA-1			
	2019-ALA-3	See 2019-ALA-1			
Alameda Total			168,000	40,000	208,000
Contra Costa	2019-CC-21	1 case agent; 20 monitors	85,570	6,000	91,570
	2019-CC-22	1 case agent; 30 monitors	94,136	6,000	100,136
	2019-CC-23	1 case agent; 45 monitors	137,760	13,500	151,260
	2019-CC-24	1 case agent; 18 monitors	33,292	1,800	35,092
	2019-CC-25	1 case agent; 8 monitors	6,314	1,500	7,814
	2019-CC-26	1 case agent; 64 monitors	449,442	25,500	474,942
	2019-CC-27	1 case agent; 40 monitors	138,344	7,500	145,844
	2019-CC-28	1 case agent; 38 monitors	177,038	19,500	196,538
Contra Costa Total			1,121,896	81,300	1,203,196
Kern	2019-KE-2	3 monitors; case agent; room manager; supervisor; federal task force officer; surveillance units	46,166	7,230	53,396
	2019-KE-3	See 2019-KE-2			
	2019-KE-4	See 2019-KE-2			
	2019-KE-5	15 officers (excluding CA DOJ and federal assistance)	700,000	84,450	784,450
	2019-KE-6	See 2019-KE-5			

⁴ Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Kern (cont'd)	2019-KE-7		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-8		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-9		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-10		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-11		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-12		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-13		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-14		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-15		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-16		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-17		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-18		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-19		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-20		See 2019-KE-5		
	2019-KE-21		See 2019-KE-5		
Kern Total			746,166	91,680	837,846
Kings	2020-KI-1		See 2020-KI-17		
	2020-KI-2		See 2020-KI-17		
	2020-KI-3		See 2020-KI-17		
	2020-KI-4		See 2020-KI-17		
	2020-KI-5		See 2020-KI-17		
	2020-KI-6		See 2020-KI-17		
	2020-KI-7		See 2020-KI-17		
	2020-KI-8		See 2020-KI-17		

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Kings (cont'd)	2020-KI-9	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-10	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-11	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-12	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-13	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-14	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-15	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-16	See 2020-KI-17			
	2020-KI-17	10 agents to install and monitor	449,000	48,840	497,840
Kings Total			449,000	48,840	497,840
Los Angeles	2019-LA-9	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-10	3 monitors; 2 investigators; 1 supervisor; 3 surveillance deputies; 1 crime analyst; 1 technician	120,000	8,000	128,000
	2019-LA-12	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-13	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-14	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-15	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-16	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-17	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-18	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-19	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-20	2 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-21	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-22	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-23	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-24	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-25	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-26	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-27	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-28	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-29	2 monitor; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-30	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-31	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-32	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-33	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-34	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-35	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-36	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-37	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-38	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-39	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-40	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-41	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-42	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-43	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-44	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-45	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-46	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-47	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-48	13 monitors; 1 wireroom liaison; 1 case agent; 1 technician	27,000	15,000	42,000
	2019-LA-49	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-50	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-51	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-52	2 monitors	25,000	3,000	28,000
	2019-LA-53	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-54	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-55	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-56	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-57	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-58	2 monitors	75,000	9,000	84,000
	2019-LA-59	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-60	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-61	8 monitors; 1 agent	159,458	6,384	165,842
	2019-LA-62	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-63	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-64	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-65	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-66	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-67	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-68	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-69	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-70	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-71	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-72	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-73	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-74	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-75	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-76	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-77	3 monitors; 1 agent	90,000	12,500	102,500
	2019-LA-78	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-79	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-80	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-81	2 monitors	28,769	750	29,519
	2019-LA-82	2 monitors; 1 technician	29,113	1,600	30,713
	2019-LA-83	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-84	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-85	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-86	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-87	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-88	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-89	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-90	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-91	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-92	1 monitor per line (x4); 2 investigators; 5 member surveillance team; 1 analyst; 1 technician	45,000	60,000	105,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-93	1 monitor per line (x3); 2 investigators; 5 member surveillance team; 1 analyst; 1 technician	40,000	55,000	95,000
	2019-LA-94	1 monitor per line (x1); 2 investigators, 5 member surveillance team; 1 analyst; 1 technician	40,000	25,000	65,000
	2019-LA-95	1 monitor per line (x1); 2 investigators; 5 member surveillance team; 1 analyst; 1 technician	40,000	25,000	65,000
	2019-LA-96	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-97	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-98	2 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-99	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-100	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-101	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-102	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-103	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-104	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-105	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-106	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-107	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-108	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-109	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-110	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-111	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-112	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-113	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-116	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-117	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-118	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-119	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-120	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-121	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-122	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-123	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-124	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-125	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-126	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-127	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-128	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-129	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-130	2 monitors; 1 agent; 1 interpreter; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-131	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-132	20 officers monitored, transcribed, and installed	180,000	60,000	240,000
	2019-LA-133	2 monitors per day	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-134	2 monitors per day	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-135	2 monitors per day	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-136	2 monitors per day	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-137	2 monitors per day	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-138	2 monitors per day	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-139	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-140	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-141	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-142	20 sworn personnel to monitor, transcribe, and install	180,000	60,000	240,000
	2019-LA-143	2 monitors/interpreters per shift; 2 officers per day	15,000	37,650	52,650
	2019-LA-144	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-145	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-146	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-147	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-148	9 monitors; 2 agents	227,880	17,489	245,369
	2019-LA-149	3 monitors; 2 agents	45,360	12,179	57,539
	2019-LA-150	3 monitors; 1 agent	22,680	2,400	25,080
	2019-LA-151	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-152	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-153	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-154	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-155	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-156	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-157	2 monitors/ interpreters; 2 agents	15,000	20,000	35,000
	2019-LA-158	15 monitors; 2 technicians; 1 analyst; 2 investigating officers	75,000	7,500	82,500
	2019-LA-159	2 monitors; 1 agent	20,072	3,000	23,072
	2019-LA-160	2 monitors; 1 agent	20,985	3,000	23,985
	2019-LA-161	2 monitors; 1 agent	10,949	3,000	13,949
	2019-LA-162	2 monitors; 1 agent	23,712	3,000	26,712
	2019-LA-163	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-164	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-165	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2019-LA-166	2 monitors; 1 technician	3,820	1,600	5,420

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-167	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-168	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-169	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-170	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-171	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-172	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-173	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-174	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
Los Angeles Total			4,807,298	772,052	5,579,350
Orange	2019-OR-1	8 contract monitors	291,962	41,000	332,962
	2019-OR-2	2 interpreters; 8 monitors; 20 officers	9,000	6,857	15,857
	2019-OR-3	12 monitors; 2 technicians; 16 officers	12,500	2,129	14,629
	2019-OR-4	28 monitors; 2 technicians; 50 officer agents	12,500	4,440	16,940
	2019-OR-5	28 monitors; 2 technicians; 50 officer agents	12,500	2,129	14,629
	2019-OR-6	28 monitors; 2 technicians; 50 officer agents	12,500	1,492	13,992
	2019-OR-7	28 monitors; 2 technicians; 50 officers agents	16,000	2,270	18,270
	2019-OR-8	11 monitors/ detectives; 2 supervisors; 1 technician	12,431	2,000	14,431

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Orange Total			379,393	62,317	441,710
Riverside	2018-RIV-41	18 persons used for monitoring, installation and transcript preparation	221,509	20,000	241,509
	2018-RIV-53	2 monitors	94,370	10,000	104,370
	2018-RIV-56	2 monitors	29,530	5,000	34,530
	2018-RIV-57	2 linguists	27,029	4,500	31,529
	2018-RIV-58	11 persons used for monitoring, installation and interpreting	160,000	12,500	172,500
	2019-RIV-1	15 persons used for installation and monitoring	1,000	5,000	6,000
	2019-RIV-2	15 persons used for installation and monitoring	10,000	5,000	15,000
	2019-RIV-3	2 monitors/translators	28,939	1,600	30,539
	2019-RIV-4	11 monitors	51,863	6,000	57,863
	2019-RIV-5	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	144,000	4,000	148,000
	2019-RIV-6	6 monitors	29,939	2,500	32,439
	2019-RIV-7	3 monitors	86,258	10,000	96,258
	2019-RIV-8	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	32,560	2,000	34,560
	2019-RIV-9	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	86,990	7,500	94,490
	2019-RIV-10	3 monitors	28,938	2,500	31,438
	2019-RIV-11	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	25,520	2,000	27,520
	2019-RIV-12	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	25,560	2,000	27,560

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2019-RIV-13	24 monitors	256,976	37,500	294,476
	2019-RIV-14	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	68,160	3,000	71,160
	2019-RIV-15	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	86,775	8,000	94,775
	2019-RIV-16	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	172,800	3,000	175,800
	2019-RIV-17	3 monitors	29,113	5,000	34,113
	2019-RIV-18	1 monitor	8,960	3,500	12,460
	2019-RIV-19	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	28,800	1,000	29,800
	2019-RIV-20	4 monitors; 4 agents; 1 technician	96,064	9,903	105,967
	2019-RIV-21	3 monitors	29,113	5,000	34,113
	2019-RIV-22	3 monitors	29,113	5,000	34,113
	2019-RIV-23	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	115,200	3,000	118,200
	2019-RIV-24	4 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-RIV-25	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-RIV-26	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	57,600	3,000	60,600
	2019-RIV-27	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-RIV-28	4 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-RIV-29	6 civilian monitors	83,909	12,000	95,909
	2019-RIV-30	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	28,800	5,000	33,800
	2019-RIV-31	4 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2019-RIV-32	Cost not available			
	2019-RIV-34	2 monitors; 1 installer	27,984	1,800	29,784
	2019-RIV-38	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-RIV-39	2 monitors	22,252	2,000	24,252
Riverside Total			2,333,624	221,803	2,555,427
Sacramento	2019-SAC-3	50 monitors; 1 technician; 12 surveillance units; 12 response teams	69,000	15,000	84,000
	2019-SAC-4	1 technician; 2 room supervisors; 6 monitors; 1 analyst; 3 interviewing detectives; 5 surveillance detectives	108,000	12,000	120,000
	2019-SAC-5	1 monitor; 1 interpreter	6,000	0	6,000
	2019-SAC-6	3 technicians; 9 monitors	5,000	3,000	8,000
Sacramento Total			188,000	30,000	218,000
San Bernardino	2019-SBD-1	1 technician, 11 monitors	20,160	6,853	27,013
	2019-SBD-2	2 installers; 2 monitors; 1 transcriber	14,000	1,676	15,676
	2019-SBD-3	2 technicians; 1 analyst; 13 monitors/transcribers	32,000	12,000	44,000
	2019-SBD-4	1 technician; 2 monitors	45,000	0	45,000
	2019-SBD-5	1 technician; 7 monitors; 3 transcribers	11,500	1,160	12,660

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2019-SBD-6	2 technicians; 1 analyst; 9 monitors/transcribers	17,500	8,000	25,500
	2019-SBD-7	1 technician; 2 monitors	163,200	1,000	164,200
	2019-SBD-8	1 technician; 7 monitors/transcribers	17,350	1,680	19,030
	2019-SBD-9	1 technician; 11 monitors/officers	15,500	2,990	18,490
	2019-SBD-10	1 technician; 11 monitors/transcribers/officers	15,500	2,990	18,490
	2019-SBD-11	1 technician; 2 monitors	30,000	0	30,000
	2019-SBD-12	1 technician; 7 monitors; 3 transcribers	12,350	1,200	13,550
	2019-SBD-13	1 technician; 2 monitors	6,000	0	6,000
	2019-SBD-14	1 technician; 2 monitors	19,050	2,000	21,050
	2019-SBD-15	1 technician; 2 monitors	30,000	0	30,000
	2019-SBD-16	1 technician; 2 monitors	28,125	1,000	29,125
	2019-SBD-18	1 technician, 11 monitors/officers	16,000	2,990	18,990
	2019-SBD-19	2 monitors; 1 technician	27,000	1,000	28,000
	2019-SBD-20	1 technician; 7 monitors/officers	21,000	6,880	27,880
	2019-SBD-21	3 technicians; 5 monitors; 1 transcriber	36,000	3,060	39,060
	2019-SBD-22	1 technician; 7 officers	21,000	6,880	27,880
	2019-SBD-23	3 technicians; 1 monitor; 1 transcriber	15,300	3,060	18,360

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2019-SBD-24	1 technician; 2 monitors	9,150	1,000	10,150
	2019-SBD-25	1 technician; 9 monitors	21,000	6,880	27,880
	2019-SBD-26	3 technicians; 1 monitor; 1 transcriber	15,300	3,060	18,360
	2019-SBD-27	1 technician; 16 monitors	16,000	500	16,500
	2019-SBD-28	1 technician; 1 monitor; 1 officer	28,800	3,000	31,800
	2019-SBD-29	1 technician; 9 law enforcement officers	45,000	4,812	49,812
	2019-SBD-30	1 technician; 2 monitors; 1 officer	25,600	3,000	28,600
	2019-SBD-31	1 technician; 2 monitors; 1 officer	25,920	4,000	29,920
	2019-SBD-32	1 technician; 2 monitors; 1 officer	4,200	1,000	5,200
	2019-SBD-34	1 technician; 9 officers	5,000	720	5,720
	2019-SBD-35	1 technician; 2 monitors; 1 officer	12,480	4,000	16,480
	2019-SBD-36	1 technician; 9 deputies/detectives	15,000	3,000	18,000
	2019-SBD-37	1 technician; 5 deputies/detectives	18,000	2,400	20,400
	2019-SBD-38	1 technician; 9 law enforcement officers	15,000	2,275	17,275
	2019-SBD-39	1 technician; 9 law enforcement officers	7,500	1,080	8,580
San Bernardino Total			877,485	107,146	984,631
San Diego	2019-SD-1	2 technicians; 2 monitors	40,475	4,700	45,175
	2019-SD-2	See 2019-SD-5			
	2019-SD-3	See 2019-SD-5			
	2019-SD-4	See 2019-SD-5			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Diego (cont'd)	2019-SD-5	19 monitors; 2 agents	240,864	18,375	259,239
	2019-SD-6	3 monitors per shift (2 shifts/day); 1 interpreter	20,510	4,300	24,810
San Diego Total			301,849	27,375	329,224
San Joaquin	2019-SJ-2	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-3	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-4	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-5	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-6	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-7	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-8	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-9	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-10	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-11	See 2019-SJ-12			
	2019-SJ-12	2 installers; 6 monitors; 2 transcribers	104,957	5,000	109,957
	2019-SJ-13	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-14	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-15	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-16	See 2019-SJ-17			
	2019-SJ-17	5 monitors; 3 officers/detectives/agents	358,478	80,952	439,430
	2019-SJ-18	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-19	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-20	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-21	See 2019-SJ-33			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2019-SJ-22	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-23	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-24	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-25	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-26	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-27	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-28	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-29	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-30	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-31	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-32	See 2019-SJ-33			
	2019-SJ-33	8 agents; 6 monitors; 1 technician	264,745	0	264,745
San Joaquin Total			728,180	85,952	814,132
San Luis Obispo	2019-SLO-1	2 clerks; 16 monitors; 2 technicians	21,539	804	22,343
	2019-SLO-2	8 monitors; 4 detectives; 2 clerks	61,412	3,375	64,787
San Luis Obispo Total			82,951	4,179	87,130
Santa Clara	2019-SCL-2	4 monitors; 3 agents	100,242	0	100,242
	2019-SCL-3	See 2019-SCL-2			
	2019-SCL-4	See 2019-SCL-2			
	2019-SCL-6	1 officer	23,093	8,000	31,093
	2019-SCL-7	See 2019-SCL-6			
Santa Clara Total			123,335	8,000	131,335
Stanislaus	2019-STA-1	See 2019-STA-7			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2019-STA-2	See 2019-STA-7			
	2019-STA-3	See 2019-STA-7			
	2019-STA-4	See 2019-STA-7			
	2019-STA-5	See 2019-STA-7			
	2019-STA-6	See 2019-STA-7			
	2019-STA-7	20 monitors	180,797	71,921	252,718
	2019-STA-8	1 monitor; 1 room supervisor; 1 technician; 1 case agent	3,000	1,600	4,600
Stanislaus Total			183,797	73,521	257,318
Tulare	2020-TUL-2		3,400	0	3,400
	2020-TUL-3		44,679	1,550	46,229
	2020-TUL-4			3,400	3,400
	2020-TUL-5			775	775
	2020-TUL-6		53,361	1,625	54,986
Tulare Total			101,440	7,350	108,790
Ventura	2019-VE-1	2 monitors, 2 interpreters	11,653	25,329	36,982
	2019-VE-2	7 agents/monitors; 1 technician		9,800	9,800
	2019-VE-3	7 agents/monitors		2,235	2,235
	2019-VE-4	3 monitors/ interpreters; 1 technician; 6 investigators		9,500	9,500
	2019-VE-5	See 2019-VE-4			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2019

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Ventura (cont'd)	2019-VE-6	5 monitors/ interpreters; 1 technician; 6 investigators.		24,000	24,000
	2019-VE-7	3 monitors; 2 agents; 1 analyst	30,700	5,533	36,233
	2019-VE-8	2 monitors/ interpreters; 1 technician; 2 agents		24,000	24,000
	2020-VE-1	11 agents and 1 crime analyst		2,967	2,967
Ventura Total			42,353	103,364	145,717
Grand Total			12,634,767	1,764,879	14,399,646

Table 7
Jurisdictions Reporting No
Electronic Interception Activity During
Calendar Year 2019⁵

Alpine	Amador	Butte
CA Dept. of Justice ⁶	Calaveras	Colusa
Del Norte	El Dorado	Fresno
Glenn	Humboldt	Imperial
Inyo	Lake	Lassen
Madera	Marin	Mariposa
Mendocino	Merced	Modoc
Mono	Monterey	Napa
Nevada	Placer	Plumas
San Benito	San Francisco	San Mateo
Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Shasta
Sierra	Siskiyou	Solano
Sonoma	Sutter	Tehama
Trinity	Tuolumne	Yolo
Yuba		

⁵ Consistent with statutory reporting requirements, the jurisdictions listed in Table 7 reported that they did not apply for a court order authorizing the interception of electronic communications.

⁶ Although the CA Department of Justice did not conduct any electronic intercepts, its agents assisted various counties in their intercept operations.

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years (2018)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Merced			BI-FR2016-0007	2017-MER-1				2				9	Firearms possession and trafficking, drug trafficking, gang enhancements
			BI-FR2016-0007	2017-MER-5								2	Firearms, narcotics
			BI-FR2016-0007	2017-MER-8								1	Felon in possession of a firearm
San Bernardino		11/16/2015	2015-55	2015-SBD-629		2	Narcotics, conspiracy to commit a crime	0	0	0	0	1	Assault by means of force likely to produce great bodily injury
		5/12/2014	2014-12	2014-SBD-551		11	Murder, gang terrorism, firearm, petty theft, accessory	4	0	0	0	6	Murder, gang terrorism, firearm, accessory
		1/9/2013	2013-8	2013-SBD-498		7	Murder, aggravated mayhem, torture, kidnapping for ransom, assault by force likely to produce great bodily injury, receiving stolen property, accessory	0	0	0	0	1	Voluntary manslaughter, attempted murder, use of a firearm, assault by means of force likely to produce great bodily injury
		6/5/2018	2018-77156	2018-SBD-17		1	Narcotics, firearms	0	0	0	0	1	Firearms
		6/5/2018	2018-77156	2018-SBD-17		1	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	1	Firearms
San Diego			16-05, 16-09, 16-10	2016-SD-232		1	Money laundering					1	Accessory, engaged in unlicensed activity

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years (2018)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
San Diego (cont'd)			16-08 (2016-SD-226)	2016-SD-226								3	Voluntary manslaughter, pimping, murder, attempted murder, conspiracy to commit murder
			17-04, 17-06, 17-11, 17-13, 17-17	2017-SD-23								2	Gang activity - conspiracy, extortion, robbery
			17-08, 17-14, 17-22, 17-24	2017-SD-21		6	Possession for sale of methamphetamine, sales/ transportation of methamphetamine, fentanyl	0	0	0	0	5	Possession for sale of methamphetamine, sales/ transportation of methamphetamine, fentanyl
			17-32 (2017-SD-32)	2017-SD-32		0		0	0	0	0	0	
			18-01, 18-03, 18-04, 18-05, 18-06, 18-07	2018-SD-2		9	Possession for sale, transportation for sale	0	0	0	0	2	Possession for sale of a controlled substance
Stanislaus			18-01, 18-02	2018-STA-2								1	Voluntary manslaughter, assault with a firearm
			18-06, 18-07, 18-08	2018-STA-9								3	Murder, first degree robbery
			18-09	2018-STA-10				1				5	Aiding and abetting escape

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders
Approved But Never Installed or Not Used
Calendar Year 2019⁷

Approved But Never Installed	Installed But Never Used
2019-LA-114	2019-SBD-13
2019-LA-115	
2019-SBD-17	
2019-SBD-33	
2019-SCL-1	
2019-SCL-5	

⁷ There are various reasons why an application for an electronic interception may be approved but never implemented. For example, the user of a targeted device or number may cease using the particular device or number before law enforcement agents can begin intercepting communications.

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of incriminating communications intercepted and the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.